	167
1	λ Since January of 1987.
2	Q Would you please tell the Committee, with as much
3	detail as you can recall, how and when you first learned of
4	someone by the name of Charles Keating?
5	A The first time I heard about him, I was not on
6	Senator McCain's staff. I heard about him from a friend I'd
7	worked with in Senator Gorton's staff.
8	I later learned more about him through newspaper
9	articles that I was given to read by another staff member on
10	Senator McCain's staff.
11	Q And what was the interest of Senator McCain's
12	office in Mr. Keating?
13	A He was I believe one of the largest home
14	builders in the state of Arizona and a large economic force.
15	Q During the time that you worked for Senator
16	McCain, did you have occasion to have contacts with
17	lobbyists and representatives of the Arizona League of
18	Savings and Loan Associations?
19	λ Yes.
20	Q And would you tell us who those persons were and
21	what the nature of those contacts were?
22	A The primary contact from the Arizona League was
23	someone named Norman Miller who was their prime lobbyist.
24	He, from time to time, has brought in other CEOs of savings
25	and loans in Arizona.

-

·• ·

	· ·
	. 168
1	Q Did they make any requests of you?
2.	A Did they make requests of our office?
3	Q Yes. Regarding capital forbearance issues or
4	similar things?
5	λ In March of 1987, they had several complaints
6	about the Bank Board, one of the most prominent of which was
7	the capital forbearance issue.
8	Mr. Bennett. Would you be kind enough, Mr.
9	Klubes, to show Ms. VanPaasschen Exhibits 287, 288, and
10	289?
11	(Handing document to witness.)
12	By Mr. Bennett:
13	Q Can you identify those?
14	A Yes. It's correspondence from the Savings and
15	Loan League of Arizona and the United States League of
16	Savings Institutions to me, regarding the forbearance issue
17	that Norm Miller brought up in March of 1987.
18	Q Can you tell me whether or not Senator McCain
19	agreed to write a letter?
20	A He did.
21	Mr. Bennett. Would you be kind enough to show
22	Ms. VanPaasschen Special Counsel Exhibit 291?
23	(Handing document to witness.)
24	By Mr. Bennett:
25	Q Would you tell us if you can identify that?

2000 - 100 100 - 100 100 - 100	- -
-	169
1	A It's a joint letter written by Senator McCain and
. 2	Senator DeConcini to Chairman Gray about the capital
3	forbearance issue.
4	Q Would you be kind enough to look at Special
5	Counsel Exhibit 290?
6	(Handing document to witness.)
7	A Yes?
8	Q Identify that?
9	A It's a memo from me to Senator McCain,
10	explaining the attached draft of a letter about the capital
11	forbearance issue, which we were sending.
12	Mr. Bennett. Senator Rudman, in light of your
13	earlier ruling, I'm not going to take the time of the
14	Committee to have her read these. They are in evidence.
15	Senator Rudman. They're all in evidence unlass
16	subsequently there's some objection for some reason.
17	By Mr. Bennett:
18	Q Ms. VanPaasschen, I want to direct your
19	attention to the date of March 19, 1987.
20	Did you attend a meeting with Senators DeConcini
21	and McCain?
22	λ Υφ.
23	Q And where was that meeting?
24	λ In Senator McCain's office.
25	Q Would you be kind enough to tell the Committee,
	h de la companya de l

-

5

	170
1	with as much detail as you can recall, who else was there
2	and what occurred?
3	A Senator DeConcini brought his staff person,
4	Laurie Sedlmayr, and I attended with Senator McCain.
5	It was held very late in the afternoon or early
6	evening of the 19th of March which I believe was at Senator
7	DeConcini's request. The meeting had been scheduled
8	earlier in tha day.
9	Senator DeConcini wanted to discuss with Senator
10	McCain allegations and problems that he had heard about
11	Lincoln Savings & Loan and the Federal Home Loan Bank
12	Board, a dispute that he'd be following. With the
13	suggestion that if Ed Gray were to take arbitrary action, he
14	could very easily put Lincoln Savings & Loan into a
15	conservatorship with terrible consequences for American
16	Continental Corporation which employed a couple of thousand
17	people in Phoenix, Arizona.
18	It was Senator DeConcini's suggestion to try and
19	get the regulators off of Mr. Keating's back by talking,
20	first to Mr. Gray, and if that didn't work, by flying to
21	California.
22	Q Now, did you hear Senator DeConcini say that?
23	λ Yes, I did.
24	Q Do you recall what, if anything, Senator McCain
25	said?

.....

.

500 2 00 200	

_

1	A Senator McCain listened to what Hr. DeConcini
2	said. He asked some questions. He made some of his own
3	observations but in large part was non-committal to the
4	suggestions that were being made.
5	Q What, if anything else was said at the meeting,
6	if you recall?
7	A I don't recall anything else.
8	Q Row long did the meeting last?
9	A Less than half an hour.
10	Q And I gather it broke up, is that correct?
11	λ That's right.
12	Q Following the meeting, did you have any
13	discussions with Senator McCain about Senator DeConcini's
14	suggestion?
15	λ Yes, I did.
16	Q Would you tell the Committee, with as much
17	detail as you can, what you said Senator McCain and what
18	Senator McCain said to you?
19	A I was concerned about the suggestions that
20	Senator DeConcini made because I felt that they were
21	aggressive, perhaps more aggressive than I was comfortable
22	advising Senator McCain to take, especially since we had
23	very little I had very little knowledge of the issue.
24	Q Now, just so we're clear, were you Senator
25	McCain's key banking person at that time?

.

171

	•
	172
1	λ Υφ.
2	Q Go ahead.
3	A I suggested to Senator McCain that especially
4	going to San Francisco would be excessive, and that I
5	advised against taking this action.
6	Q What did Senator McCain say to you?
7	λ Senator McCain agreed with me.
8	Q Did you discuss this with anyone else?
9	λ Yes.
10	The following morning, I discussed it with Chris
11	Koch, who is the Administrative Assistant for Senator
12	McCain.
13	Q Tell us what that conversation was.
14	λ I told Chris about the meeting that we'd had on
15	March 19th. And I explained to him that I had several
16	concerns about what was going on.
17	And when I explained those concerns, he agreed
18	with me.
19	Q What were the concerns that you articulated to
20	him?
21	λ I essentially was concerned, because of the
22	nature of the suggestions made by Senator DeConcini, that
23	Senator McCain was being coaxed into a plan that would
24	ultimately cause him harm if it became public knowledge that
25	they had in fact taken aggressive action towards the

.

	173
Chairs	an of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.
Q	What do you mean by "coaxed into a plan"?
λ	Well, I mentioned newspaper articles earlier.
	It was clear to me, from reading those articles,
that M	r. Keating had raised a fair amount of money for
Senato	r McCain, more than he had for Senator DeConcini and
some o	ther politicians in Arizona although probably not as
much a	s he raised for others.
	It was my feeling that, if in fact, there was a
disput	e between Ed Gray and it became public, it could have
mbarr	assing ramifications for the Senators involved. And I
felt t	hat Senator McCain was being coaxed along into it
becaus	e he was a republican and because he had had more
raised	for him than the other Senators involved.
Q	Now, did you communicate those concerns to
Senato	r McCain?
X	Yes, I did.
Q	Would you be kind enough to look at Special
Counse	l Exhibit 1917
	(Handing document to witness.)
	Can you identify that?
λ	This is a latter from Jack Atchison of Arthur
roung	to Senator Don Riegle.
Q	And what's the date on that letter?
х	March 13, 1987.

.

-

	174
1	Q Do you recall how you obtained this letter?
2	A This letter was sent to our mailroom with a
3	business card, I believe, from one of the Reating staff.
4	It was received in our mailroom I think the 19th
5	of March, and it was given to me just prior to the meeting
6	with Senator DeConcini and Senator McCain.
7	Q Would you be kind enough to look at Special
8	Counsel Exhibits 187 and 483?
9	(Handing document to witness.)
10	Mr. Dowd. Excuse me. Was that 483, Mr.
11	Chairman?
12 .	Mr. Bennett. 187 and 483.
13	By Mr. Bennett:
14	Q Can you identify those? First, let's take 187.
15	A This is a similar letter that was written on
16	March 17th, by Jack Atchison to Senator McCain.
17	Q And 483?
18	λ 483, I believe, was the cover sheat, the cover
19	letter to Senator McCain from American Continental.
20	Q At the time you received or obtained Special
21	Counsel Exhibit 191, which was the letter addressed to
22	Senator Riegle on March 13th, '87, were you or were you not
23	aware that similar letters had been sent to Senator McCain?
24	A I was not aware that a similar letter had been
25	sent to Senator McCain at that point.

-

	175
1	Q Now, when you saw 191, the letter to Senator
2	Riegle, what if any concerns did you have about that?
3	A Well, it perplexed me somewhat that we would
4	receive a letter to Senator Riegle from Arthur Young
5 ·	regarding one of our constituents. It references a meeting
6	that Senator Riegle had had no February 28, 1987, with
7	Atchison regarding Lincoln Savings & Loan.
8	It was something that kind of gnawed at me, given
9	the nature, then, of Senator DeConcini's suggestions.
10	Q How often would Senator McCain and Senator
11	DeConcini, how frequently would they meet to discuss issues,
12	as far as you knew?
13	A I'd only been on the staff a very short time, Hr.
14	Bennett. It was the first time that I was aware of.
15	Q Now after you expressed your concern to Senator
16	McCain about Senator DeConcini's suggestion, did you talk to
17	anyone else other than Mr. Koch?
18	A After talking to Senator McCain and Mr. Koch, I
19	talked to Jay Smith.
20	Q Who was Jay Smith?
21	A He's a friend of Senator McCain's. I believe he
2	was also campaign advisor.
23	Q And why did you talk to Mr. Smith?
14	A Senator McCain requested me to relay to him my
5	concerns about the suggestions that had been made on

~

-

्र प्रम मुन्द्र के के के के के

	1	
		176
	•	
1	March 19t	h.
2	Q	Do you know how_it was left? Did Senator McCain
3	ever get	back to Senator DeConcini?
4	A	After the March 19th meeting?
5	Q	Yes.
6	A	At some point over the next day or so, it was
7	conveyed	to Senator DeConcini that Senator McCain would not
8	go down t	o Chairman Gray's office.
9	Q	Do you know how that was communicated?
10	A	No, I don't.
11	Q	Do you know who Laurie Sedlmayr is?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Who is she?
14	A	Laurie Sedlmayr is my part on Senator
15	DeConcini	's staff.
16	Q	And at this point in time, did you have any
17	communica	tions with her?
18	A	Yes, I did.
19	Q	Would you tell the Committee what those were?
20	λ	On March 19th or?
21	Q	On March 19th?
22	A	We did not discuss the issue at all on
23	March 19t	h.
24	Q	When is the first time you discussed the issue?
25	A	The first time I recall discussing it, it was on

) ⁽

	177
1	March 23rd.
2	Q All right. Would you tell us what that
3	discussion was?
4	A Laurie called me just after Senator DeConcini had
5	met with Charlie Keating in his office to relay to me a
6	story. She said that Senator DeConcini had come to John
7	McCain's defense when Charlie Keating had called Senator
8	McCain a wimp for refusing to negotiate on his behalf with
9	Chairman Gray.
10	Q Did Ms. Sedlmayr tell you why Keating made that
11	comment?
12	A Because Senator McCain was not going to
13	negotiate on his behalf.
14	Q Subsequent to that, did Senator McCain meet with
15	Mr. Keating?
16	A Yes. The next day.
17	Q Were you at that meeting?
18	A I was.
19	Q Would you please tell us, with as much detail as $-$
20	you can recall, what occurred at that meeting?
21	A Keating arrived before Senator McCain arrived. I
22	think it was around lunch time.
23	Q Now, who was there? Tell us everybody who was
24	there.
25	A At first, it was just me and Keating. And I

1	think Jim Grogan.
2	Q Now who is Jim Grogan?
3	A Jim Grogan was I think ACC's main lobbyist.
4	Keating was very angry. He said some
5	disparaging things about Senator McCain.
6	Q Now, excuse me. Is that did he say those to
7	you?
8	A Yes, he did.
9	Q All right, so it's just you and Mr. Keating at
10	this point?
11	A That's right.
12	Q Well, tell us exactly what he said and what, if
13	anything, you said?
14	A Well, he was introduced to me. I was introduced
15	as John McCain's new staffer on banking issues. He was
16	pleased that John McCain had somebody new because he felt
17	that John McCain didn't know much about the industry and
18	certainly was not terribly sympathetic to the industry.
19	Just after that conversation, Senator McCain
20	arrived with Chris Koch and Chris, John McCain, Charlie
21	Keating, Jim Grogan, and I went into John McCain's office.
22	Whereupon, John McCain started the meeting off quite
23	abruptly, making it very clear to Charlie Keating that he
24	was not a coward. That his unwillingness to negotiate was
25	not a question of cowardice. He avoided using the word
25	not a question of cowardice. He avoided using the word

``

5. Z

178

	1/9
1	"wimp." But, rather, because he felt that it was wrong,
2	and that, you know, there was nothing he would do that he
3	felt was improper on Charlie Keating's behalf.
4	It wouldn't help Charlie Keating and it wouldn't
5	help John McCain.
6	Q Now, did you hear Senator McCain say that, or was
7	that your impression of what he said?
8	A No, he said that in my presence.
9	Q All right. And what did Mr. Keating say?
10	λ Mr. Keating was very angry. I think he _.
11	interjected a couple of times, but Senator McCain did most
12	of the talking, as I recall.
13	Q And how did that meeting end?
14	A It was clear that there was a rift in the
15	relationship. It was clear that John McCain was not going
16	to do Charlie Keating's bidding and, as a consequence, when
17	Keating left, he was very angry.
18	Q Had you, up to this point in time, had any
19	discussions with Laurie Sedlmayr about the proposals to go
20	down and see Mr. Gray or fly out to San Francisco?
21	Mr. Green. Senator Rudman, if I may just
22	interpose here for a moment.
23	Senator Rudman. Mr. Green.
24	Mr. Green. Clearly, the dialogue between the
25	witness and Special Counsel obviously does not conform with

-

1	
	180
	the kind of dialogue that would be permitted in a
	Courtroom. And I'm well aware of your admonition at the
	beginning of this hearing, that those rules don't apply.
	Nevertheless, it seems to me that some mention
	ought to be made of the tendency of the witness to give
	conclusions which are really not based on, at least
Ì	observations which are elicited by the questions of Special
	Counsel.
	And I think that some management of this
	dialogue ought to take place. And I'm looking for guidance
	to the Chair.
	Senator Rudman. Thank you, Mr. Green.
	I would instruct the witness to answer the
	questions as succinctly as you can. And if you're not a
	professional witness, very few people are, but to the extent
	that you don't give us your conclusions unless counsel
	specifically asks those conclusions in some particular area,
	we'd appreciate it.
	That may be difficult but see if you can do that
	for us.
	The Witness. Yes, Senator.
	Mr. Bennett. I would point out, this is not
	easy. This is not a professional witness and this is a
į	rather formidable group.
	By Mr. Bennett:

ì

ž.,

-

181 How long did that meeting last? 1 ٥ On March 24th? 2 λ 3 Yes. 0 I think it lasted about half an hour. 4 λ What happened after that, do you remember? 5 0 I thought the issue was over, and I didn't do 6 A 7 anything. Were there any discussions at all about Mr. 8 Q Keating's campaign contributions at that meeting? 9 No. A 10 11 Q All right. Now, following that meeting, did you have any 12 discussions with Senator McCain about the Keating meeting? 13 Shortly following it, no. Because there was 14 A 15 nothing to discuss. What was the next time that you discussed it? 16 Q It was some time later that week. 17 A I began to hear from Senator McCain that there 18 19 was discussion of the meeting in Senator DeConcini's office with Ed Gray. 20 21 Q And do you remember what Senator McCain said to 22 you precisely? 23 . A When he told me that he was going to attend it he 24 told me a couple of things. He told me the four Senators

that would be involved. He also told me that he and Senator

25

ľ

1	DeConcini had an agreement as to what the discussion would
2	be.
3	Q And do you recall what he told you the agreement
4	was?
5	A Yes. He said it would be with respect to the
6	appraisal issue which was a problem not just for Keating,
7	but also for other SELS in the state of Arizona, and about
8	the length of the examination.
9	Q Would you be kind enough to look at Special
10	Counsel Exhibit 65?
11	(Handing document to witness.)
12	Can you identify that?
13	A This is a memo I wrote on March 24th in
14	preparation for Senator McCain's meeting with Charlie
15	Keating.
16	Q And why did you prepare that memorandum?
17	A I prepared it at Senator McCain's request
18	because he wanted more information about the issue.
19	Q Do you know who Jack Atchison is?
20	A Jack Atchison was the accountant that worked at
21	Arthur Young who provided us with the first letter that we
22	got.
23	Q Did you ever have any conversations with Mr.
24	Atchison?
25	X Yes. On March 23rd or March 24th, I talked to

.

1 ·	Mr. Atchison for quite awhile about the allegations he made
2	in the letter.
3	Q Why did you do that?
4	λ I wanted to get a feel for just exactly how
5	sincere he was about having written the letter he wrote.
6	Q Did Senator McCain know that you were going to be
7	talking to Mr. Atchison?
8	A Yes, he did.
9	Q Would you look at 191, please, again?
10	There are some handwritten notes that appear to
11	be attached to that.
12	Can you recognize those?
13	A These are the notes that I took when I talked to
14	Mr. Atchison.
15	Q All right. Now, why did you take these notes?
16	A I just wanted to write down what we were
17	discussing.
18	Q What was the purpose of your conversation with
19	Mr. Atchison? You got his letter. What was the point of
20	you talking to him?
21	A I had a few questions for him, but I also wanted
22	to make sure that he was sincere about the letter and that
23	he meant what he said in it.
	•

-